A AND
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

		COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (C	CI)
AIRS ID#: 1150008 DATE: 0	<u>5/22/2006</u> AR	RIVE: <u>~ 10:00 am</u>	DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: SARASC	TA PRECAST PRODUCT		
FACILITY LOCATION:	1415 MYRTLE ST.		
	SARASOTA 34234-		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:	MICHAEL MCCARTHY	<b>PHONE:</b> (94	41)355-4380
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:	
REMITTANCE YEAR:		NT PERIOD: 7/1/2005 (effective date)	/ 7/1/2010 (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
<ul> <li>62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>2. Are emissions from silo controlled to the extent of a controlled to the extent of a rate that is represent unless such rate is unach</li> <li>4. Are emissions from the to this question is "Yes" skip 4.a) and 4.b) and contact and the batching operation of the statement of the statement</li></ul>	ests conducted during this site v ests conducted during this site v s, weigh hoppers (batchers), and necessary to limit visible emission s tests of the silo dust collector e ative of the normal silo loading nievable in practice?	tisit according to EPA Method other enclosed storage and cor- ons to 5 percent opacity? exhaust points was the loading of rate, or at least at the minimum on controlled by the silo dust co 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer in	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)  Yes Yes No</li> </ol>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes Yes No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No</li> </ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹 appropriat	tte box(es))	
	1) a stationary $\square$ ; 2) a relocatable $\square$ ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable $\square$ and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check <math>\square</math> only one box.</i> )	
plants using indi then proceed to	nary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing ividual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>questions 2.a</i> ), <i>thru 2.d</i> ), <i>below</i> .)	

a)	Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility ?	LIYes KINO
b)	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c)	Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
d	Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
3. Do	es the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a)	fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b)	material processed on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c)	the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes ] No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Tyes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes ] No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles?  Ves  No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? [Yes ] No

## 

d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
	local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

Debbie Telemeco-Anders, ESII

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

.....

05/22/2006

Date of Inspection

~ 2007

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Drive by inspection of the facility found 2 silos on the facility; no emissions at the time of this inspection.